

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 4118 D HARTAL 11/24/99 09/449,093 **EXAMINER** IM52/0918 001444 SHERRER. BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 1761 WASHINGTON DC 20001-5303 DATE MAILED: 09/18/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Interview Summary

Application No. A

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Hartaletal

Curtis E. Sherrer

Group Art Unit 1761

The state of the s	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Curtis E. Sherrer	(3) Noam Mushkin
(2) <u>Sheridan Neimark</u>	(4)
Date of Interview Sep 5, 2001	-
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🗵 applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All claims in general, specifically, 1-14	, 23-28 and 41-44
Identification of prior art discussed: Graves et al, Tonnucci, and Iwatsuki (the remainder are ba	sically cumulative to Tonnucci).
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) 🛛 was not reached. h) 🗌 N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
	se "high lycopene content tomato" (Claim 5) is well known in
	ted to the enablement of the invention, e.g., that those in the
art would be able to practice the disclosed invention so as to obtain the claimed product. Will consider removing all other	
phrases that were determined to be indefinite. Will consider amending the claims to include language directed to "retaining the chromoplasts within the solid components" to indicate that the serum is not being used. Will consider	
	esteurization, destroys the majority of chromoplasts. Will also
	onfusing nature of Iwatsuki and that it therefore is not a valid
teaching. Applicants agreed to cancel claim 44 if the Exam	niner still finds it unpatentable. In conclusion, this interview has
moved the prosecution in a positive direction towards allow	vance.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no davailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	lments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

CURTIS E. SHERRER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1761